IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 235 OF 2006

Kanchan Krishna Bapardekar ..Petitioner

versus

State of Maharashtra ..Respondents

Mr. S. B. Pawar with Mr. P. B. Parse for the Petitioner.

Mrs. V. B. Bhosale, APP for the Respondents - State.

CORAM : D. G. DESHPANDE, &
V. K. TAHILRAMANI,JJ.

DATE : 21ST MARCH, 2006

P.C. :

1. Heard.

2. Rule, returnable forthwith.

3. Grievance of the petitioner is that even though detailed statement is recorded by the police on 3.5.2005 where he has alleged that signature of Secretary Jagannath Hari Vaiti is forged, no action is taken against the persons guilty of forgery. The said Jagannath Hari Vaiti is dead now. The

apprehension of the petitioner is that police are not doing anything in this regard.

4. We find that the apprehension is not well founded because from the nature of the complaint dated 3.5.2005 it is clear that it is a complicated affair but even then the police have registered an offence and in the statement filed before the Sessions Judge, Mazgaon, on 6.5.2005 they have stated that the investigation is going on, and, if any evidence is collected, they will take proper action. The learned APP also states that aspect of forgery will also be considered. The petitioner who has in possession information about the offence of forgery should give information in writing to the concerned police station. They will consider that aspect and do the needful. The petitioner is at liberty to produce the necessary documents before the said police authorities.

5. Rule is discharged.

(D.G. DESHPANDE, J.)

(V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.)